

Ketamine Applications for Migraines: A Scoping Narrative Review

Peter D. Vu *, MD¹, Christy Cao BSA², Catherine Nguyen³, Grant H. Chen MD, MBA¹

¹ McGovern Medical School at The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, 6431 Fannin, Houston, TX 77030

² John Sealy School of Medicine at The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, 301 University Blvd, Galveston, TX 77555

³ The University of Southern California, 3620 S Vermont Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90089

* **Corresponding Author:** Peter D. Vu, MD

Address: Univ. of Texas McGovern Medical School – PMR, TIRR Research Building, 1333B Moursund St, Room 120, Houston, TX 77030

Email: peter.d.vu@uth.tmc.edu

Keywords: Ketamine; Migraines; Review

Article Information

DOI: [10.30756/ahmj.2023.10.01](https://doi.org/10.30756/ahmj.2023.10.01)

Article Type: Review Article

Issue: 10.01

Manuscript ID: 2023001

Received: Jan 16, 2023

Revised: Mar 1, 2023

Accepted: Mar 2, 2023

Published: Mar 15, 2023

Recommended Citation:

Vu PD, Cao C, Nguyen C, Chen GH. Ketamine Applications for Migraines: A Scoping Narrative Review. *Ann Head Med.* 2023;10:01. DOI: 10.30756/ahmj.2023.10.01

Abstract

In the United States alone, nearly 40 million children and adults suffer from migraines, which are the primary cause of morbidity, quality of life reduction, and loss of productivity for persons aged 15-49. Despite their global prevalence and various available treatment options, these disabilities are often still under-treated due to the individuality of treatment regimens and effect profiles. Compelling arguments have been made for ketamine use in opioid-sparing pain management. An increase in opioid stewardship, especially during the outbreak of the novel COVID-19, has only accentuated

arguments for ketamine in migraine alleviation. However, within the last 20 years, the overall body of work addressing its role has not been clearly elucidated, with variations in optimal dosage and administration routes. Thus, this review aims to consolidate previous findings of ketamine as a migraine analgesic agent and to amass the most recent burgeoning data on its effectiveness in clinical settings. A comparison of intravenous, intranasal, and subcutaneous ketamine is examined, with a discussion on pharmacology, pharmacokinetics, and results in pain outcomes analyzed.

Introduction

Headache disorders, as described by the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation in their 2019 Global Burden of Disease, are a leading global public health concern¹. Migraines, as its own subset category as a primary headache, ranked second among all causes of disability and debility^{1,2}, affecting approximately 15% of the general population, or 1 billion people, worldwide^{3,4}. In the United States alone, nearly 40 million children and adults suffer from migraines, being the primary cause of morbidity, quality of life reduction, and loss of productivity for persons aged 15-49⁵.

Migraines are primary headaches manifesting as recurrent attacks of head and facial pain, sometimes accompanied by auras, or neurological disturbances⁴. Current treatments range from acute and preventative medications, including NSAIDs, triptans, calcitonin gene-related peptide monoclonal antibodies, and opioids, to non-pharmacological therapies, including neuromodulators and biobehavioral therapies³. Despite the prevalence of migraines worldwide and these treatment options, these disabilities are often still under-treated due to the individuality of treatment regimens and effect profiles⁴, proposing a realm of treatments to consider adding to the therapeutic arsenal. Ketamine can potentially be one of those treatments.

Since the introduction of ketamine in the 1960s as a phencyclidine derivative, studies on its clinical utilization, mechanism of action, and psychodysleptic effects have continuously shaped its relationship within the medical community⁶. Compelling arguments have been made for ketamine use in opioid-sparing pain management⁷⁻¹⁰, procedural sedation and intubation^{8,9,11,12}, and mood/psychiatric disorder treatments¹³⁻¹⁷. It has also been effective in neuroprotection, seizure prophylaxis

and ablation, burn pain, acute on chronic episodes of neuropathic pain, acute postoperative pain, and alcohol and substance abuse abbreviation⁹. An increase in opioid stewardship, evident with a 12.8% prescription decline from 2010-2017¹⁸, especially during the outbreak of the novel COVID-19¹⁹, has only accentuated arguments for ketamine in migraine alleviation. However, within the last 20 years, the overall body of work addressing its role has not been clearly elucidated, with variations in optimal dosage and administration routes. Thus, this review aims to consolidate previous findings of ketamine as a migraine analgesic agent and to amass the most recent burgeoning data on its effectiveness in clinical settings.

Ketamine Pharmacology and Pharmacokinetics

As a racemic mixture of (S)- and (R)-ketamine, the chemical structure of ketamine provides an interesting dichotomy in its effects. Both enantiomers are non-competitive antagonists of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors with further interactions on μ -, κ -, and σ -opioid, dopamine D2, serotonin (5-HT), cholinergic, nicotinic, monoaminergic, muscarinic, γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA), α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionate (AMPA), gated-ion channels, and kainite receptors^{8,10,15,20,21}. Additional analgesic effects with ketamine metabolites and their similar structures and targeted receptors have also been reported with prolonged concentration and duration of metabolite plasma levels²². These interactions postulate a combination of receptor interactivity resulting in neuronal cell signaling inhibition and pain transmission termination.

The same multitude of receptor interactions is hypothesized to explain the adverse side effect profile of ketamine and its retained psychomimetic and psychodysleptic properties

of phencyclidine^{8, 10, 15}. Higher doses and administrative routes impact the severity of side effect profiles, which include dissociative anesthesia, hallucinations, feelings of dysphoria, lightheadedness, nausea, dizziness, drowsiness, ocular effects, urological deficits, neurotoxicity, and confusion^{8, 9, 20}. Because ketamine undergoes extensive first-pass metabolism, its oral bioavailability is relatively poor (17-29%), compared to intravenous (100%), intramuscular (93%), intranasal (45-50%), and rectal (11-25%)^{8, 9, 23}. Recent findings have also suggested (S)-ketamine has a 2- to 4-fold higher affinity to the NMDA receptor than its R-enantiomer counterpart, resulting in more potent effects and positing that isolation of (S)-ketamine could result in even lower doses for pain treatment^{8-10, 20}.

Another important pathophysiological property ketamine offers in migraine management is its potential to decrease debilitation through dampening cortical spreading depression via glutamatergic NMDA receptor inhibition²¹. Cortical spreading depression is the predominant theory of auras in migraines and is described as a slow-moving propagated wave of depolarization that results in brain activity suppression²⁴. With up to one-third of migraines influenced by auras²⁵, the ability to target crippling symptoms and treat pain at the same time is efficacious.

Methods

Previous systematic reviews have evaluated ketamine use for migraines²⁶; newer studies and publications not included in those reviews could provide further information that could be helpful in niche patient populations. Thus, a comprehensive scoping review search was conducted with a medical research librarian instead of repeating a similar study. The goal was to find new and previously unreferenced studies to consolidate all applicable evidence to identify and analyze knowledge gaps in

migraine management²⁷. Additionally, to stratify each study, acute migraine was defined as 0 to 14 headache days per month, chronic was 15 or more headache days per month, and status migrainosus as a headache that doesn't respond to usual treatment or lasts longer than 72 hours²⁸.

The following electronic databases were searched in June 2022 and repeated in November 2022: MEDLINE via PubMed (1990 to November 2022), Web of Science (1990 to November 2022), Cochrane Library (1990 to November 2022), and EMBASE (1990 to November 2022). Search term inputs focused on "(ketamine OR esketamine OR s-ketamine) AND (migraine)" with filters limited to human trials and English-written articles. Inclusion criteria included interventional studies (randomized-control, comparative non-randomized, etc.) and observational studies (cohort, case-control, cross-sectional, case report). Randomized controlled trials were the primary focus because of their competitiveness, minimization of biases and confounding factors, and statistical reliability. Non-randomized studies were also included in our inclusion criteria because empirical evidence for the utilization of ketamine has shown benefits for patients that go beyond set benchmarks and should also be considered. Abstracts, open-label studies, commentaries, editorials, systematic literature reviews, and meta-analyses were excluded. Any references that only discussed "ketamine" or "migraine" but not both were excluded. Any references that did not discuss "ketamine" or "migraine" but mentioned other "headaches" were also excluded. Reviews and meta-analyses were manually searched for additional studies. The initial search yielded a total of 575 references. After duplicates were removed and the remainder analyzed for inclusion criteria, 14 manuscripts were included. Each study included in this review had relevant data extracted, as reported in **Tables 1, 2, and 3**.

Results

Routes of Administration

The subanesthetic dosing of ketamine via intranasal, subcutaneous, or intravenous routes of administration has provided a variety of dosages, adverse effects, and results.

Intravenous Ketamine

The individuality of migraine treatment between acute, preventative, and non-pharmacologic options have burgeoned, providing an array of pharmacological and non-pharmacological combinations that can be effective in alleviating pain³. If these combinations do not, intravenous ketamine, with its concentration and utilization in an acute setting, may provide that allayment. A summary of intravenous ketamine studies referenced in this section is presented in **Table 1**.

Randomized controlled trials are contentious regarding the efficacy of intravenous ketamine for migraines^{29,30}. Etchison et. al. conducted a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial of 34 acute migraine subjects comparing a one-time dose of 0.2 mg/kg intravenous ketamine to an intravenous saline placebo²⁹. Over 30 minutes, pain reduction, functional disability scores, rescue medication request rate, and treatment satisfaction were not greater than the placebo, with both groups reporting an average reduction of pain score of 1 from baseline. However, a lack of a standardized analgesic dose of intravenous ketamine, subjective quality of pain, strict medication adherence, and population location and size limits Etchison from providing a blanket approach to intravenous ketamine for migraine management²⁹. Zitek et. al. concluded similar findings in their multicenter, double-blinded, randomized, controlled trial of 54 patients between intravenous prochlorperazine and 0.3 mg/kg ketamine³⁰. Prochlorperazine was concluded superior to

ketamine in pain reduction and outcomes after an interim analysis was conducted due to concerns over ketamine-induced severe dysphoria. The dysphoria patients experienced were noticeable, however, allowing providers to voice concerns and prematurely stop the trial short of the goal of 70 patients. Additionally, providers also provided ondansetron for migraine-induced nausea, which can perpetuate further headaches. Thus, the study was underpowered, unblinded, and confounded³⁰.

Other intravenous, non-randomized ketamine studies have sided with the impact of ketamine on migraine treatment. Pomeroy et. al., in their retrospective review of 77 patients who failed previous aggressive outpatient and inpatient therapies, showed intravenous subanesthetic ketamine to benefit patients with refractory, chronic migraines³¹. In those patients, the average length of ketamine infusion ranged from 2-9 days, with a mean of 4.8 days, at a mean rate of 0.53 mg/kg/hr. Their data showed the mean pain rating decreased by an average of 3.3 pain rating from admission to discharge ($P < 0.0001$). The majority of their subjects, 71.4%, had at least a 2-point improvement in pain rating at discharge, with 27.3% of their subjects maintaining this benefit within their one-month follow-up³¹. Ray et. al., Schwenk et. al. (2018 and 2021), Lauritsen et. al., and Krusz et. al. report similar findings to Pomeroy, with intravenous ketamine improving migraine pain over prolonged treatment time and titrations³²⁻³⁶. In some cases, sustained improvements in migraine pain were recorded (40% and 39% of patients at a follow-up visit 38 and 101 days, on average, after discharge, respectively)³³. The limitation of this collection of retrospective studies, as in any retrospective study, is the inability to generalize these findings to acute patients. Additionally, many of these studies also utilized rescue medications throughout the treatment period, making it difficult to pinpoint the individual effects of ketamine. Loss to follow-

up also limits the extrapolation of sustained results or potential changes in patient habits or prophylaxis use.

Intranasal Ketamine

Intranasal ketamine is another option to consider for migraine and headache treatment. Its ease of access and utilization are desirable characteristics that providers consider when prescribing, especially in the acute setting. A summary of intranasal ketamine studies referenced in this section is presented in **Table 2**.

The three randomized-controlled trials included in this section collectively favor the use of intranasal ketamine for migraine management³⁷⁻³⁹. Sarvari et. al. conducted a randomized, double-blinded, parallel design of 140 patients comparing a one-time intranasal 0.75 mg/kg ketamine (max 75 mg) to 30 mg intravenous ketorolac³⁷. Investigators were blinded to patient medications; to blind the participants, subjects in the intranasal ketamine group also received 1000 mL of normal saline intravenously while; subjects in the ketorolac group also inhaled atomized saline. The results reported an average difference in pain at 30, 60, and 120 minutes by intranasal ketamine as statistically and clinically significant ($p < 0.001$) compared to intravenous ketorolac. Intravenous ketorolac did provide relief for patients, hence its long-time role as a primary treatment; however, with these results, the viability of intranasal ketamine as a potential alternative option is questioned.

Afridi et. al., in their double-blinded, randomized parallel-group controlled study, demonstrated in 30 patients a reduction of migraine aura severity through 25 mg intranasal ketamine versus 2 mg intranasal midazolam³⁸. The patients were instructed to use their assigned nasal sprays to treat 3 migraine attacks followed by no nasal spray treatments for 3 subsequent attacks. Of those 30, 18 completed the study with 9 patients in each treatment arm. Data analysis of these 18 patients showed that neither

midazolam nor ketamine reduced the duration of migraine attacks. Ketamine, however, did decrease the severity of attacks on a scaled score of 1.5 on the clinical severity scale ($p = 0.032$), suggesting that the baseline attack was worse than the treated attack. Kaube et. al. reports a similar potential for ketamine in migraine treatment as Afridi³⁹. They examined 11 patients with severe, disabling auras resulting from familial hemiplegic migraine, trialing a one-time dose of 25 mg intranasal ketamine as an inpatient, with further self-administration as-needed outpatient. Of those 11, 5 patients reported that ketamine reproducibly reduced the severity and duration of the neurologic deficits; the other 6 reported no beneficial effects.

Of the three randomized-controlled trials, Benish et. al. argued against ketamine superiority for migraines; at most, ketamine is comparable to standard therapy⁴⁰. In their randomized, single-blind, placebo-controlled trial, 53 subjects were divided between intranasal ketamine and intravenous metoclopramide and diphenhydramine solution. Initial average pain scores were 73.5 in the control versus 74.5 in the ketamine arm. The dosage of intranasal ketamine was done in two administrations: the first dose equaled 0.75 mg/kg (maximum 75 mg), and the second dose (if requested) equaled 0.25 mg/kg (maximum 25 mg). Pain scores after 30 minutes recorded an average decrease of 22.2 and 29.0 in the control and the ketamine arm, respectively. The difference between the two groups was statistically insignificant at 60 minutes. At 48-72 hours, pain and satisfaction scores were equal.

Migraine headaches are not an exclusively adult disease; pediatric migraines are a common diagnosis seen by pediatricians, emergency medicine physicians, and pediatric neurologists. According to the American Migraine Foundation, 10% of children suffer from migraines⁴¹. Pediatric migraines are also unique

in that they are more likely to present globally rather than one-sided and are usually much shorter than adult migraines⁴¹. These clinical presentations make them harder to treat and more difficult to study. Turner et. al. retrospective review describes a cohort of 34 pediatric patients who presented to the emergency department with migraines and received serial dosing of 0.1-0.2 mg/kg/dose intranasal ketamine every 15 minutes up to 5 total doses⁴². Of those 34 children, 25 (73.5%) responded with a 50% or more reduction of pain, with absolute pain scores between 0-3. Pain reduction by the first dose was seen in 9 children, with an average pain reduction of 66.1%, or an average 7.2-pain scale reduction. The pain scores differed between the ketamine responders versus non-responders: 1.4 versus 7.3, respectively ($p \leq 0.001$). As discussed before, the limitations of a retrospective study exist. However, there appear to be benefits for intranasal ketamine, including no intravenous access, no necessary premedication, and expedited administration of medications over current migraine protocols.

Subcutaneous Ketamine

Studies on subcutaneous ketamine for migraines were limited, even to this day. An evaluation of the literature found a randomized, double-blind, cross-over study of 17 patients from 1995 by Nicolodi et. al.⁴³, as summarized in **Table 3**. Nicolodi tested acute and chronic migraine management with a one-time subcutaneous 0.08 mg/kg dose followed by subcutaneous 0.08 mg/kg three times a day for 3 weeks. This was compared to saline injections over 3 weeks by the same patients. They reported superior pain relief in acute and chronic settings seen with subcutaneous ketamine. An expert panel, convened by Orr et. al.⁷, reviewed 68 RCTs through 2015 to determine the likely efficacy of injectable medications to provide first-line recommendations. They found Nicolodi to be inconclusive, concluding "No recommendation can be made regarding the role of injectable ketamine for adults who present to an emergency department with acute migraine."

Tables

Table 1. A comparison of intravenous ketamine studies.

Authors	Type of Study	No of Patients	Type of Patients	Sex (M/F)	Age	Dose of Ketamine	Treatment Time and Measured Duration	Results
Etchison et. al., 2018 ²⁹	Randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled	34	Acute migraines	8/26	18-65	One-time dose of 0.2 mg/kg of ketamine or saline.	Pain assessed at 0 and 30 minutes. No follow-up to assess sustained relief.	No statistically significant difference in pain reduction between ketamine and saline.
Zitek et. al., 2018 ³⁰	Randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled	54	Benign headaches, acute migraines	12/42	18-58	One-time dose of 0.3 mg/kg ketamine + 4 mg ondansetron vs one-time dose of 10 mg prochlorperazine + 25 mg diphenhydramine.	Pain assessed at 0, 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes. Phone call at 24 hours and 48 hours assessed for continued acute migraines.	Prochlorperazine is superior to ketamine in pain reduction.

Authors	Type of Study	No of Patients	Type of Patients	Sex (M/F)	Age	Dose of Ketamine	Treatment Time and Measured Duration	Results
Pomeroy et. al., 2017 ³¹	Retrospective review	78	Refractory, chronic migraines	20/57	18-65	Initiation dose: 0.1 mg/kg/hr. Titration: 0.05 mg/kg/hr hourly until 0.25 mg/kg/hr for 6 hours. Further titrations to effect, max 1 mg/kg/hr.	Migraine pain measured daily between 2-9 days. An average of 4.8 days to reach 50% relief. Follow up 1 month later.	74.6% acute relief during hospitalization. 23.4% sustained relief at 1 month.
Ray et. al., 2022 ³²	Retrospective cohort	77	Benign headaches, acute migraines	10/67	25-75	Initiation dose: 7 mg/h. Titration: 5 mg/hr every 3-4 hours, max 24 mg/hr.	Migraine pain measured at start and end of infusion (over 2-11 days, average of 3.4 days). No follow-up to assess sustained relief beyond acute stay.	34.4% acute relief over 3.4 days. 15.6% sustained relief after 5.1 days.
Schwenk et. al., 2018 ³³	Retrospective review	61	Refractory, chronic migraines	44/17	20-65	Initiation dose: 10 mg/h. Titration: 5 mg/hr every 3-4 hours, max 1 mg/kg/hr.	Symptoms measured over 5 days with max improvement seen on average at 4.56 days. Sustained effects assessed at 2 follow-ups, average days at 38.1 days and 101.3 days.	77% acute relief. 40% sustained relief at first follow-up. 39% with sustained relief at second follow-up.
Lauritsen et. al., 2016 ³⁴	Retrospective case series	6	Refractory, chronic migraines	1/5	29-54	Initiation dose: 0.1 mg/kg/hr. Titration: 0.1 mg/kg/hr every 3-4 hours to goal 3/10 pain scores.	Symptoms assessed every 3-4 hours over 8 hours. Follow up 3-6 months later with phone call.	100% reached target goal of less than 3/10 pain score. Only 2/6 patients answered follow-up call, with no sustained benefits
Schwenk et. al., 2021 ³⁵	Prospective, observational pilot	7	Refractory, chronic migraines	3/3	20-55	Initiation dose: 10 mg/h. Titration: 5-10 mg/hr every 4-6 hours to effect, max 1 mg/kg/hr.	Daily symptoms assessed over 5 days with mean benefits at day 3. Follow up between 4-6 weeks to assess sustained relief.	100% acute relief, with greater average relief than lidocaine infusion. No sustained relief with pain returning to baseline.
Krusz et. al., 2008 ³⁶	Prospective, observational pilot	30	Refractory, chronic migraines	9/21	N/A	Initiation dose: 0.4 mg/kg over 90 minutes. Titration doses: A second round of 0.4 mg/kg over 90 minutes, option for a third round.	Migraine measured over two 90 mins sessions, average infusion time = 142 min. No follow-up to assess sustained relief.	Ketamine is efficacious for refractory, chronic migraines.

Table 2. A comparison of intranasal ketamine studies.

Authors	Type of Study	No of Patients	Type of Patients	Sex (M/F)	Age	Dose of Ketamine	Treatment Time and Measured Duration	Results
Sarvari et al., 202237	Randomized, double-blind, parallel design, 1:1 allocation ratio	140	Benign headaches, acute migraines	65/75	18-65	One time intranasal 0.75 mg/kg ketamine dose vs intravenous ketorolac.	Symptoms measured at 0, 30, 60, and 120 min. No follow-up to assess sustained relief.	Ketamine had more analgesic effect than ketorolac.
Afridi et al., 201338	Controlled, double-blinded, randomized parallel-group	30	Refractory, chronic migraines	4/14	18-55	25 mg intranasal ketamine vs 2 mg intranasal midazolam.	Over 6 migraine episodes as outpatient up to 2 years, self-administered, 3 treated episodes, 3 untreated episodes with recorded effects. No follow-up to assess sustained relief.	Ketamine reduced aura severity in comparison to midazolam. Neither treatment reduced duration.
Kaube et al., 200039	Prospective, observational pilot	11	Familial hemiplegic migraines	N/A	18-47	One-time dose of 25 mg intranasal ketamine as an inpatient, with further self-administration as an outpatient as needed.	Up to 14 episodes, with symptom assessment each dose. No follow-up to assess sustained relief.	5 patients report improvement in aura severity and duration. 2 experienced benefits for the migraine pain.
Benish et al., 201940	Randomized, single-blind, placebo-controlled	53	Benign headaches, acute migraines	16/37	25-43	One-time intranasal 0.75 mg/kg ketamine dose with an option for a second 0.25 mg/kg ketamine dose vs intravenous metoclopramide and diphenhydramine.	Evaluation of pain scores at 0, 30, and 60 minutes. No follow-up to assess sustained relief.	Ketamine is comparable to standard therapy.
Turner et al., 202042	Single-center, retrospective review	34	Refractory, chronic migraines	4/34	7-21	Intranasal ketamine at 0.1 to 0.2 mg/kg/dose up to five doses.	1-5 doses with symptom evaluation each dose. No follow-up to assess sustained relief.	66.1% overall pain reduction was seen with ketamine.

Table 3. A comparison of subcutaneous ketamine studies.

Reference	Type of Study	No of Patients	Type of Patients	Sex (M/F)	Age	Dose of Ketamine	Treatment Time and Measured Duration	Results
Nicolodi et al., 1995⁴³	Randomized, double-blind, crossover	17	Refractory, chronic migraines	N/A	N/A	One-time subcutaneous 0.08 mg/kg dose initially, followed by subcutaneous 0.08 mg/kg three times a day for 3 weeks.	First trial measured pain scores at 30 and 60 mins. Second trial of 3 weeks with 3 injections daily. No follow up to assess sustained relief.	Ketamine is superior to placebo for acute and prophylactic use.

Discussion

The use of ketamine to treat pain has been a relevant discussion since its inception; studies on its use as a migraine remedy, however, are more novel. Outcomes of early studies have hinted that ketamine may alleviate migraines, but definitive conclusions with dosages, treatment times, and results are difficult to establish with the heterogeneity of each study. Of the 14 studies included, only 1 was published before 1995 and 3 before 2010. Based on our inclusion criteria, since 2010, 11 other studies have been impactful in the discussion for or against ketamine in migraines.

In the framework of intravenous ketamine, the goals of treatment for acute migraines versus refractory, chronic migraines varied significantly in the dosage and duration of treatment. Schwenk's infusion rate of 0.76 mg/kg per hour over 5 days brought on more improvement in current and sustained pain post-discharge than the one-time doses of Etchison's 0.2 mg/kg or Zitek's 0.3 mg/kg emergency department management. Schwenk, Lauritsen, Ray, and Pomeroy all had infusion rates and durations greater than Zitek and Etchison²⁹⁻³⁶, with reported improvement with ketamine. These findings for migraine treatment with ketamine for higher doses and durations mirror the guidelines for infusions for chronic pain, as written by the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine in 2018²², and the potential pharmacology and pathophysiology discussed above^{8,9}. An argument on the impact of rescue medication on migraine improvement in the non-randomized studies could be made, however, Etchison and Zitek both also had rescue medications for their patients. The side effect profiles that accompany increased ketamine doses, such as dysphoria, nystagmus, confusion, and hallucination, retain plausibility in the argument against intravenous ketamine for migraine use. It is understandable why hesitancy

with intravenous ketamine continues to exist, even with lower dosages or using benzodiazepines to treat adverse side effects.

The results of intranasal ketamine were more motley in nature than intravenous ketamine, with varied dosages, effects, and relief. Benish, with their 0.75 mg/kg (maximum 75 mg) first dose and 0.25 mg/kg (maximum 25 mg) second dose produced no significant difference in pain reduction compared to Afridi's 3 doses of 25 mg, Kaube's 1 dose of 25 mg, and Turner's serial dosing of 0.1-0.2 mg/kg/dose (up to 5 doses) for pediatric patients^{32-40, 42}. Benish did report a numerical decrease in reported pain value, however. With intranasal ketamine, a discussion of bioavailability must also be considered. Compared to intravenous ketamine's 100% plasma concentration bioavailability, intranasal ketamine's 45-50% bioavailability could influence patient variable outcomes^{8,9, 23}. The bioavailability impacts NMDA receptor inhibition and subsequent blockade of glutamatergic-induced cortical spreading depression, affecting aura and migraine pain^{38,39}. NMDA receptors have also been found in the olfactory passages, with the potential for direct stimulation by intranasal ketamine⁴⁴. Thus, direct stimulation with less time for metabolite concentration and other receptor pathway effects can make intranasal ketamine more efficacious in mitigating severe migraine with less adversity.

At this time, it is difficult to discern the utility of subcutaneous ketamine. Nicolodi's study, while a good randomized-controlled trial with suggestive efficacy for subcutaneous ketamine, is a bit outdated from 1995⁴³. Since then, the literature search has not provided another subcutaneous ketamine study for migraines. In addition, Orr's panel of experts and their review of Nicolodi's non-recommendation of subcutaneous ketamine for migraines also makes it difficult to fully support subcutaneous ketamine at this time⁷. More studies, especially

randomized-controlled trials for subcutaneous ketamine may be fruitful. Until then, it is all anecdotal.

In addition to the discussions on acute and chronic migraines throughout this scoping review, status migrainosus should also be addressed. As defined above, status migrainosus is a migraine that does not respond to usual treatment or lasts longer than 72 hours²⁸. It occurs in persons diagnosed with migraines and is typical in presentation as previous migraines. Generally, these migraines are managed with abortive migraine medications, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), analgesics, triptans, anti-epileptics, tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), and calcium channel blockers (CCBs)⁴⁵. Only one study found for this scoping review discussed status migrainosus, which limits a fully inclusive discussion on its management with ketamine³². Ray et. al. reported intravenous ketamine use for status migrainosus in 10 patients, with only 2 patients having greater than 50% pain reduction and 1 patient having complete pain resolution with intravenous ketamine, providing only empirical ketamine evidence for status migrainosus. With only one study discussing status migrainosus and usual improvement with abortive medications, this limits the number of studies that could be conducted with ketamine, especially if the migraine is effectively treated without ketamine. This suggests that ketamine would only have a minor role, similar to its role in acute migraines, in status migrainosus management.

In evaluating ketamine's efficacy for acute and chronic migraines, a discussion of other modalities and their costs for migraine treatment should also be held. While the spectrum of migraine therapeutics has significantly expanded within the past few years, it must be noted that ketamine will never be a first-line agent for migraines. Triptans, approved by the Food and Drug Administration

in 1992, have been the leading option for treating acute migraines⁴⁶. Prophylactically, NSAIDs, analgesics, beta-blockers, CCBs, TCAs, antidepressants, and anti-epileptics have also been used, with newly approved pharmacological agents like anti-calcitonin gene-related peptides (anti-CGRPs) recently added to the repertoire⁴⁵. Among these treatment options, anti-CGRPs are the most expensive at \$291.17 per patient per month (PPPM) in 2020 while triptans are the highest volume use at \$31.92 PPPM in 2020⁴⁷. Further 2020 pricing PPPM includes \$64.92 for opioids, \$23.90 for NSAIDs/non-narcotic agents, \$761.99 for ergotamine, \$11.44 for antidepressants, \$43.82 for anticonvulsants, and \$13.40 for beta-blockers⁴⁷. This wide variety of pricing options and choices for patients seeking migraine medications emphasizes the heterogeneity and individuality of migraine response and the importance of expanding on all potential treatment options.

When traditional and newer pharmacologic agents fail to provide relief to patients, our discussion of ketamine for migraine therapy should be considered, particularly for refractory, chronic migraines. The cost of ketamine, while relatively cheap to manufacture, has increased upcharge between \$200-\$800 per infusion based on personnel, facility, training, safety protocols, insurance coverage, and equipment⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰. While ketamine prices are on the higher end, there have been recent attempts to find ways to make ketamine more affordable to the consumer with increased discussion and awareness for ketamine indications in migraine management⁵¹. The argument for ketamine as a cost-effective option for migraines lies in the possibility of a 1-time dose providing prolonged relief versus having monthly prescriptions. Overall costs can be decreased by utilizing higher costs medications that effectively alleviate pain and disability, reducing the need for further medications and treatments⁵¹. Unfortunately, a limitation of these studies

discussed, and other studies considered is the lack of long-term follow-up that could study the cost-benefit analysis.

Previous systematic reviews have stated that ketamine benefits are unclear, with no superiority compared to standard treatments²⁶. Our scoping review, which included more studies, conclude similar findings with one small caveat for chronic, refractory migraines. The studies included in our scoping review controlled for demographic differences (i.e., gender, age), which allowed for evaluations of efficacy differences in ketamine outcomes. Based on these evaluations, ketamine, particularly intravenous and intranasal ketamine, has some value for refractory, chronic migraines, especially for those who have failed multiple standard treatments^{31-36, 38, 42}. An argument can be made for acute migraine ketamine treatment, especially when short-term improvement can significantly improve debilitating symptoms. These cases are rare and should only be considered last when other options have been exhausted or have contraindicated side effects; of note, the use of ketamine for acute migraines has been mediocre at best^{29, 43}.

Limitations and Future Directions

As a scoping review, the goal of this narrative is to build upon previous articles addressing ketamine for migraine use and synthesize an overview of new evidence in relation to already published results. As discussed in the method section, a scoping review addresses different goals than a systematic review. Even then, there are limitations to a scoping review, especially when methodological limitations or bias risks of the evidence are not assessed²⁷. Variations in ketamine delivery between intravenous, intranasal, and subcutaneous and the differences in controls and rescue medications make the comparison of dosages and efficacy difficult. We attempted to stratify based on the delivery method to control for each group.

However, it is possible that other studies have been overlooked, further biasing overall results and conclusions. Thus, this limits the ability to provide concrete guidance or policy for migraine treatment with ketamine.

The use of ketamine has current side effect limitations that preceded its effectiveness, such as but not limited to headache, dizziness, dissociation, elevated blood pressure, blurred vision, and psychiatric disorders like anxiety and hallucinations⁵². One other consideration to be had, especially with migraine patients, is the concern of ketamine causing a potential increase in intracranial pressure, which has been reported since ketamine's creation⁵³⁻⁵⁵. Newer studies have been suggesting there is no correlation between intracranial pressure and ketamine use, however^{53, 54, 56}.

One other aspect of ketamine that has not been discussed in this review is sublingual ketamine. Sublingual ketamine is an uncomplicated way to deliver ketamine as it bypasses first-pass metabolism, allowing for higher bioavailability of active ketamine. Compared to intranasal ketamine, sublingual ketamine was comparable in active ketamine bioavailability¹⁰, which led our group to question its viability in migraine management. Unfortunately, there is no literature on using sublingual ketamine for migraine management; future studies evaluating its effectiveness in migraine management should be considered.

Conclusion

Although ketamine is not a first-line migraine treatment, empirically, the use of ketamine can be appropriate for migraines unresponsive to standard management, especially for the management of chronic, refractory migraines. However, the level of evidence for practical use between intravenous, intranasal, and subcutaneous varies by dose range. Intranasal ketamine has the most uniform evidence for

migraine treatment with all reported studies showing migraine improvement compared to intravenous or subcutaneous. However, given the small sample size of many of the studies referenced in this review and the heterogeneity of outcomes reported, definitive conclusions on ketamine administration and its use as a migraine treatment are not possible at this time. Adverse effects must be considered, especially with prolonged durations and elevated dosages, when considering the initiation of ketamine for migraines. With some benefits noted in chronic, refractory migraines with intravenous and intranasal ketamine, a future study could compare sub-dissociative intravenous ketamine versus intranasal ketamine with increasing dosages over multiple migraine attacks in a set time period via a crossover study for chronic, refractory migraine patients. A similar study could be conducted via a randomized, controlled, double-blinded trial where chronic, refractory migraine patients would be randomized into intravenous or intranasal ketamine with intravenous and intranasal normal saline as control. Regardless, larger studies are needed to establish quantifiable efficacy, enhance patient selection, clarify therapeutic dosages, arbitrate administration choice, and promote comprehension of the risks of undergoing ketamine treatment for migraines.

References

1. Collaborators GBDH. Global, regional, and national burden of migraine and tension-type headache, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol*. Nov 2018;17(11):954-976. PubMed PMID: 30353868; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6191530. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30322-3
2. Steiner TJ, Stovner LJ, Jensen R, Uluduz D, Katsarava Z, Lifting The Burden: the Global Campaign against H. Migraine remains second among the world's causes of disability, and first among young women: findings from GBD2019. *J Headache Pain*. Dec 2 2020;21(1):137. PubMed PMID: 33267788; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC67708887. doi:10.1186/s10194-020-01208-0
3. Ashina M, Buse DC, Ashina H, et al. Migraine: integrated approaches to clinical management and emerging treatments. *Lancet*. Apr 17 2021;397(10283):1505-1518. PubMed PMID: 33773612. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32342-4
4. Eigenbrodt AK, Ashina H, Khan S, et al. Diagnosis and management of migraine in ten steps. *Nat Rev Neurol*. Aug 2021;17(8):501-514. PubMed PMID: 34145431; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8321897. doi:10.1038/s41582-021-00509-5

Declarations/Disclosures

Consent/Permission/Ethics Approval: Not applicable.

Funding/Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, author declares the following:

Payment/services info: Author has declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work.

Financial relationships: Author have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work.

Other relationships: Author have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Authors' contributions: PDV conducted a literature review, wrote the manuscript, and edited it with the help of CC and CN with assistance and direction from GHC. CC assisted with the literature review, writing the manuscript, and editing with PDV and CN with assistance and direction from GHC. CN assisted with the literature review, writing the manuscript, and editing with PDV and CC with assistance and direction from GHC. GHC conceived the presented idea. Provided discussion and edits for the manuscript. Provided supervision with writing.

5. Disease GBD, Injury I, Prevalence C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet*. Sep 16 2017;390(10100):1211-1259. PubMed PMID: 28919117; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5605509. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32154-2
6. Rocchio RJ, Ward KE. Intranasal Ketamine for Acute Pain. *Clin J Pain*. Apr 1 2021;37(4):295-300. PubMed PMID: 33555694. doi:10.1097/AJP.0000000000000918
7. Orr SL, Friedman BW, Christie S, et al. Management of Adults With Acute Migraine in the Emergency Department: The American Headache Society Evidence Assessment of Parenteral Pharmacotherapies. *Headache*. Jun 2016;56(6):911-40. PubMed PMID: 27300483. doi:10.1111/head.12835
8. Zanos P, Moaddel R, Morris PJ, et al. Ketamine and Ketamine Metabolite Pharmacology: Insights into Therapeutic Mechanisms. *Pharmacol Rev*. Jul 2018;70(3):621-660. PubMed PMID: 29945898; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6020109. doi:10.1124/pr.117.015198
9. Peltoniemi MA, Hagelberg NM, Olkkola KT, Saari TI. Ketamine: A Review of Clinical Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics in Anesthesia and Pain Therapy. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. Sep 2016;55(9):1059-77. PubMed PMID: 27028535. doi:10.1007/s40262-016-0383-6
10. Yang Y, Maher DP, Cohen SP. Emerging concepts on the use of ketamine for chronic pain. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*. Feb 2020;13(2):135-146. PubMed PMID: 31990596. doi:10.1080/17512433.2020.1717947
11. Merelman AH, Perlmutter MC, Strayer RJ. Alternatives to Rapid Sequence Intubation: Contemporary Airway Management with Ketamine. *West J Emerg Med*. May 2019;20(3):466-471. PubMed PMID: 31123547; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6526883. doi:10.5811/westjem.2019.4.42753
12. Matchett G, Gasanova I, Riccio CA, et al. Etomidate versus ketamine for emergency endotracheal intubation: a randomized clinical trial. *Intensive Care Med*. Jan 2022;48(1):78-91. PubMed PMID: 34904190. doi:10.1007/s00134-021-06577-x
13. Frohlich J, Van Horn JD. Reviewing the ketamine model for schizophrenia. *J Psychopharmacol*. Apr 2014;28(4):287-302. PubMed PMID: 24257811; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4133098. doi:10.1177/0269881113512909
14. Wilkowska A, Szalach L, Cubala WJ. Ketamine in Bipolar Disorder: A Review. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2020;16:2707-2717. PubMed PMID: 33209026; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6760087. doi:10.2147/NDT.S282208
15. Zanos P, Gould TD. Mechanisms of ketamine action as an antidepressant. *Mol Psychiatry*. Apr 2018;23(4):801-811. PubMed PMID: 29532791; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5999402. doi:10.1038/mp.2017.255
16. Sanacora G, Frye MA, McDonald W, et al. A Consensus Statement on the Use of Ketamine in the Treatment of Mood Disorders. *JAMA Psychiatry*. Apr 1 2017;74(4):399-405. PubMed PMID: 28249076. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0080
17. Feder A, Rutter SB, Schiller D, Charney DS. The emergence of ketamine as a novel treatment for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Adv Pharmacol*. 2020;89:261-286. PubMed PMID: 32616209. doi:10.1016/bs.apha.2020.05.004
18. Schieber LZ, Guy GP, Jr., Seth P, et al. Trends and Patterns of Geographic Variation in Opioid Prescribing Practices by State, United States, 2006-2017. *JAMA Netw Open*. Mar 1 2019;2(3):e190665. PubMed PMID: 30874783; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6484643. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.0665
19. Khatiri UG, Perrone J. Opioid Use Disorder and COVID-19: Crashing of the Crises. *J Addict Med*. Jul/Aug 2020;14(4):e6-e7. PubMed PMID: 32404651; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7236857. doi:10.1097/ADM.0000000000000684
20. Jelen LA, Young AH, Stone JM. Ketamine: A tale of two enantiomers. *J Psychopharmacol*. Feb 2021;35(2):109-123. PubMed PMID: 33155503; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7859674. doi:10.1177/0269881120959644
21. Hoffmann J, Charles A. Glutamate and Its Receptors as Therapeutic Targets for Migraine. *Neurotherapeutics*. Apr 2018;15(2):361-370. PubMed PMID: 29508147; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5935645. doi:10.1007/s13311-018-0616-5
22. Cohen SP, Bhatia A, Buvanendran A, et al. Consensus Guidelines on the Use of Intravenous Ketamine Infusions for Chronic Pain From the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, the American Academy of Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. Jul 2018;43(5):521-546. PubMed PMID: 29870458; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6023575. doi:10.1097/AAP.0000000000000808
23. Li L, Vlisides PE. Ketamine: 50 Years of Modulating the Mind. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2016;10:612. PubMed PMID: 27965560; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5126726. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2016.00612
24. Telles JPM, Welling LC, Coelho A, Rabelo NN, Teixeira MJ, Figueiredo EG. Cortical spreading depolarization and ketamine: a short systematic review. *Neurophysiol Clin*. Mar 2021;51(2):145-151. PubMed PMID: 33610431. doi:10.1016/j.neucli.2021.01.004
25. Dodick DW. A Phase-by-Phase Review of Migraine Pathophysiology. *Headache*. May 2018;58 Suppl 1:4-16. PubMed PMID: 29697154. doi:10.1111/head.13300
26. Chah N, Jones M, Milord S, Al-Eryani K, Enciso R. Efficacy of ketamine in the treatment of migraines and other unspecified primary headache disorders compared to

- placebo and other interventions: a systematic review. *J Dent Anesth Pain Med.* Oct 2021;21(5):413-429. PubMed PMID: 34703891; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8520840. doi:10.17245/jdapm.2021.21.5.413
27. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* Nov 19 2018;18(1):143. PubMed PMID: 30453902; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6245623. doi:10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x
28. Katsarava Z, Buse DC, Manack AN, Lipton RB. Defining the differences between episodic migraine and chronic migraine. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* Feb 2012;16(1):86-92. PubMed PMID: 22083262; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3258393. doi:10.1007/s11916-011-0233-z
29. Etchison AR, Bos L, Ray M, et al. Low-dose Ketamine Does Not Improve Migraine in the Emergency Department: A Randomized Placebo-controlled Trial. *West J Emerg Med.* Nov 2018;19(6):952-960. PubMed PMID: 30429927; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6225951. doi:10.5811/westjem.2018.8.37875
30. Zitek T, Gates M, Pitotti C, et al. A Comparison of Headache Treatment in the Emergency Department: Prochlorperazine Versus Ketamine. *Ann Emerg Med.* Mar 2018;71(3):369-377 e1. PubMed PMID: 29033296. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2017.08.063
31. Pomeroy JL, Marmura MJ, Nahas SJ, Viscusi ER. Ketamine Infusions for Treatment Refractory Headache. *Headache.* Feb 2017;57(2):276-282. PubMed PMID: 28025837. doi:10.1111/head.13013
32. Ray JC, Cheng S, Tsan K, et al. Intravenous Lidocaine and Ketamine Infusions for Headache Disorders: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Front Neurol.* 2022;13:842082. PubMed PMID: 35356451; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC68959588. doi:10.3389/fneur.2022.842082
33. Schwenk ES, Dayan AC, Rangavajjula A, et al. Ketamine for Refractory Headache: A Retrospective Analysis. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* Nov 2018;43(8):875-879. PubMed PMID: 29923953. doi:10.1097/AAP.0000000000000827
34. Lauritsen C, Mazuera S, Lipton RB, Ashina S. Intravenous ketamine for subacute treatment of refractory chronic migraine: a case series. *J Headache Pain.* Dec 2016;17(1):106. PubMed PMID: 27878523; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5120050. doi:10.1186/s10194-016-0700-3
35. Schwenk ES, Torjman MC, Moaddel R, et al. Ketamine for Refractory Chronic Migraine: An Observational Pilot Study and Metabolite Analysis. *J Clin Pharmacol.* Nov 2021;61(11):1421-1429. PubMed PMID: 34125442; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8769496. doi:10.1002/jcph.1920
36. Krusz J CJ, Hall S. Efficacy of IV ketamine in treating refractory migraines in the clinic. *The Journal of Pain.* 2008;9(S2)(4):30. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2008.01.139
37. Sarvari HR, Baigrezaii H, Nazarianpirdosti M, Meysami A, Safari-Faramani R. Comparison of the efficacy of intranasal ketamine versus intravenous ketorolac on acute non-traumatic headaches: a randomized double-blind clinical trial. *Head Face Med.* Jan 3 2022;18(1):1. PubMed PMID: 34980184; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8722273. doi:10.1186/s13005-021-00303-0
38. Afridi SK, Giffin NJ, Kaube H, Goadsby PJ. A randomized controlled trial of intranasal ketamine in migraine with prolonged aura. *Neurology.* Feb 12 2013;80(7):642-7. PubMed PMID: 23365053. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182824e66
39. Kaube H, Herzog J, Kaufer T, Dichgans M, Diener HC. Aura in some patients with familial hemiplegic migraine can be stopped by intranasal ketamine. *Neurology.* Jul 12 2000;55(1):139-41. PubMed PMID: 10891926. doi:10.1212/wnl.55.1.139
40. Benish T, Villalobos D, Love S, et al. The THINK (Treatment of Headache with Intranasal Ketamine) Trial: A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Intranasal Ketamine with Intravenous Metoclopramide. *J Emerg Med.* Mar 2019;56(3):248-257 e1. PubMed PMID: 30910061. doi:10.1016/j.jemermed.2018.12.007
41. Dennis A, Matthew LJ, Yan Z, Holger C. Predictors of Headache/Migraine and the Use of Complementary Medicine in U.S. Children: A Population-Based Analysis of 2017 National Health Interview Survey Data. *J Integr Complement Med.* Jan 2022;28(1):60-66. PubMed PMID: 35085015. doi:10.1089/jicm.2021.0117
42. Turner AL, Shandley S, Miller E, Perry MS, Ryals B. Intranasal Ketamine for Abortive Migraine Therapy in Pediatric Patients: A Single-Center Review. *Pediatr Neurol.* Mar 2020;104:46-53. PubMed PMID: 31902550. doi:10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2019.10.007
43. Nicolodi M, Sicuteri F. Exploration of NMDA receptors in migraine: therapeutic and theoretic implications. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Res.* 1995;15(5-6):181-9. PubMed PMID: 8835616.
44. McCulloch PF, DiNovo KM, Westerhaus DJ, et al. Trigeminal Medullary Dorsal Horn Neurons Activated by Nasal Stimulation Coexpress AMPA, NMDA, and NK1 Receptors. *ISRN Neurosci.* 2013;2013:152567. PubMed PMID: 24967301; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4045565. doi:10.1155/2013/152567
45. Ailani J, Burch RC, Robbins MS, Board of Directors of the American Headache S. The American Headache Society Consensus Statement: Update on integrating new migraine treatments into clinical practice. *Headache.* Jul 2021;61(7):1021-1039. PubMed PMID: 34160823. doi:10.1111/head.14153
46. Yang CP, Liang CS, Chang CM, et al. Comparison of New Pharmacologic Agents With Triptans for Treatment

- of Migraine: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. Oct 1 2021;4(10):e2128544. PubMed PMID: 34633423; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8506232. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.28544
47. Nguyen JL, Munshi K, Peasah SK, et al. Trends in utilization and costs of migraine medications, 2017-2020. *J Headache Pain*. Aug 28 2022;23(1):111. PubMed PMID: 36031609; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC9420279. doi:10.1186/s10194-022-01476-y
48. Ramos M BW, Alpert M. The New Ketamine-Based Antidepressant Is a Rip-Off. *Vice*. Accessed March, 2023. <https://www.vice.com/en/article/pajkiy/opinion-the-new-ketamine-based-antidepressant-is-a-rip-off>
49. Samuel T. Wilkinson M. What Is the Deal With Esketamine? 2020;37(4). <https://www.psychiatrictimes.com/view/what-deal-esketamine>
50. Rapoport AM, Adelman JU. Cost of migraine management: a pharmacoeconomic overview. *Am J Manag Care*. Apr 1998;4(4):531-45. PubMed PMID: 10179912.
51. Marianna Vinokur DFC, MD; Patrick Sullivan, DO; and Michael J. Marmura, MD. Ketamine for Intractable Headache. Online Article. *Practical Neurology*. 2022;Nov-Dec; 21(1):9. <https://practicalneurology.com/articles/2022-nov-dec/ketamine-for-intractable-headache/pdf>
52. Short B, Fong J, Galvez V, Shelker W, Loo CK. Side-effects associated with ketamine use in depression: a systematic review. *Lancet Psychiatry*. Jan 2018;5(1):65-78. PubMed PMID: 28757132. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30272-9
53. Cohen L, Athaide V, Wickham ME, Doyle-Waters MM, Rose NG, Hohl CM. The effect of ketamine on intracranial and cerebral perfusion pressure and health outcomes: a systematic review. *Ann Emerg Med*. Jan 2015;65(1):43-51 e2. PubMed PMID: 25064742. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2014.06.018
54. Loflin R, Koyfman A. When used for sedation, does ketamine increase intracranial pressure more than fentanyl or sufentanil? *Ann Emerg Med*. Jan 2015;65(1):55-6. PubMed PMID: 25233812. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2014.08.017
55. Green SM, Andolfatto G, Krauss BS. Ketamine and intracranial pressure: no contraindication except hydrocephalus. *Ann Emerg Med*. Jan 2015;65(1):52-4. PubMed PMID: 25245275. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2014.08.025
56. Zeiler FA, Teitelbaum J, West M, Gillman LM. The ketamine effect on intracranial pressure in nontraumatic neurological illness. *J Crit Care*. Dec 2014;29(6):1096-106. PubMed PMID: 24996763. doi:10.1016/j.jcrc.2014.05.024